

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Chemical Science International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CSIJ_96521
Title of the Manuscript:	INVESTIGATION OF PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS IN SOIL FROM AUTO-MOBILE MECHANIC WORKSHOPS WITHIN IKOT AKPADEN, MKPAT ENIN L.G.A AKWA IBOM STATE.
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalcsij.com/index.php/CSIJ/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	YES YES YES YES YES NO	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	YES	
Optional/General comments	1- 1- Changed words in red prove. 2- No comma found between sentences. 3- The introduction is too short and needs to be rewritten. 4- The researcher did not mention the operating conditions of the GS-FID. 5- The researcher did not explain his results in detail, SO it is rewritten again. 6- The discussion needs to be rewritten to interpret the results more accurately. 7- The references used in the article are old, so the article needs to add new references.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ihsan Flayyih Hasan Al-Jawhari
Department, University & Country	University of Thiqar, Iraq