

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_97478
Title of the Manuscript:	Computer Aided Design and Drafting in Landscape Architecture
Type of the Article	Mini-review Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalcjust.com/index.php/CJAST/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory REVISION</b> comments  <b>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  <b>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)  <b>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b>  <b>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>  <b>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b>  <b>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b> <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	<b>1. As stated in the article, this is a review of the use of CAD software in landscape design. It has no major scientific relevance, as it is something that has been done for a long time.</b> <b>2. Yes, why not?</b> <b>3. The abstract is understandable, but in my opinion could be to provide extensive information on the software to be mentioned.</b> <b>4. I think it is necessary to show the disadvantages of each of the mentioned software. Also, a section including a comparison between the different software, and a discussion section is needed.</b> <b>5. May be. However I think it is necessary make improvements.</b> <b>6. Yes.</b>  In the introduction it is necessary to summarize the different sections of the article.	
<b>Minor REVISION</b> comments <b>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Yes	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jesús Angel Román Gallego
Department, University & Country	Higher Polytechnic School of Zamora, University of Salamanca, Spain