

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_97177
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Feeding Locally Produced Soybean Meal and Fishmeal on the Performance of Broiler Chicks
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalcjust.com/index.php/CJAST/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	Yes the manuscript is important for the scientific community because the poultry sector is a very important one both in the developing and developed world. This study focuses on feeding which is a major aspect in the poultry sector Yes it is The place and duration of study is missing in the abstract Yes Yes, the correction indicated in the manuscript should be effected to make it better. Majority of the references are old The author should adjust the tables and present the results using other scientifically acceptable formats not only tables so as to make for better reading	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The grammar, vocabulary and punctuations should be improved upon.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Oyekemi Olubamise Akinmusire
Department, University & Country	University of Maiduguri, Nigeria