

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_97015
Title of the Manuscript:	MySQL database server: DEPLOYING SOFTWARE APPLICATION TO ENHANCE VISIBILITY AND ACCOUNTABILITY
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalcjust.com/index.php/CJAST/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1- This study is interesting in that it presents an approach on how to promote insecticide treated net (ITN) supply chain management visibility, accountability, inventory integration , real-time location tracking and monitoring. 2- the title of the article is acceptable. 3- yes. 4- The subsections and structure of the manuscript are correct. 5- correct. 6- the references are sufficient.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The English linguistic quality of the article is acceptable.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Zaaloul Abdellah
Department, University & Country	Ibn Zohr University of Agadir, Morocco