

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_97001
Title of the Manuscript:	The bio-geosystem strategy for sustainable irrigated agriculture in Africa.
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalcjust.com/index.php/CJAST/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1. Manuscript gives valuable information for scientific communities in having an understanding on bio-geosystems 2. The title can be changed to suit major areas of cited review, In this case there has been emphasis on Ghana and Kenya. Hence title could be improved accordingly 3. It is but the author should include key recommendations 4. Yes but include methodology 5. Yes. However, a short description on how the review was done could add value to the paper. A sub heading of methodology 6. I agree with the reference and citation used. However, add extra information on the introduction with regard to the topic. Your references are too few.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Language use if appropriate	
Optional/General comments	Paper is generally good for publication	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Simunji Simunji
Department, University & Country	University of Zambia, Zambia