

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_96596
Title of the Manuscript:	RAPID UPPER LIMB ASSESSMENT AMONG MALAYSIAN MULTI-PURPOSE VEHICLE'S DRIVER
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalcjast.com/index.php/CJAST/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? Ans: Yes. 2. Is the title of the article suitable? Ans: Looks good to me. 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Ans: Yes. 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Ans: Yes. 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Ans: Yes. 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form Ans: Yes.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments	Overall article is good. 1. In my opinion, this article looks more of review article because there are many versions of this article https://mjphm.org/index.php/mjphm/article/download/671/118/ https://www.researchgate.net/publication/348317165_Elderly_Taxi_Drivers_Evaluation_of_Driving_Posture_in_Malaysia_Using_Rapid_Upper_Limb_Assessment_RULA_Approach	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Manu Mitra
Department, University & Country	University of Bridgeport, USA