

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Biotechnology Journal International</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_BJI_97049</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>EFFECTS OF AQUEOUS SEED EXTRACTS OF SPHENOSTYLIS STENOCARPA ON THE REPRODUCTIVE INDICES OF MALE RATS</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalbjournal.com/index.php/BJI/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments 1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b> 4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b> 5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b> 6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b> <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	<b>Yes, the study done by the authors are innovative.</b> <b>The title is suitable.</b> <b>Yes, but some spelling mistakes and units to be corrected.</b>  <b>Yes, it is appropriate.</b>  <b>Yes, but the literature comparison to be provided in the table format which enhances the impact of this article.</b>  <b>Yes, need to be verified by authors once for the formatting as per the journal guidelines.</b>  <b>The superscripts provided on the numbers in the tables are to be clarified below the table or in the discussion section.</b>  <b>If any ethical clearance is available, please provide and include the document number as evidence.</b>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments 1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Attached the Grammar report. Modify accordingly.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	See the attachment	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>N Balaji</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>St. Peter's Institute of Higher Education and Research, India</b>