

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Mathematics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJOM_96759
Title of the Manuscript:	On Rings Domination of Total Graph of Some Graph Families
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalarjom.com/index.php/ARJOM/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? 2. Is the title of the article suitable? 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.	1.The manuscript is very clear and technically sound 2. Yes, the title of the article is suitable. 3. Yes, the abstract of the article is comprehensive 4. Yes, The subsections and structures are appropriate 5. The manuscript is scientifically correct 6. The references are sufficient	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications	
Optional/General comments	In the proof of the theorem 3.1, case 1, it is given that 'since S is a γ_{ri} – set, it must have at least $(2n+5) / 5$ vertices. Here it will be clear, if the explanation is given. It is repeated in all the cases of theorems 3.4, ... The explanation is not sufficient In theorem 3.10, proof -4 th line- $V[T(F_n)]$ should be replaced by $V[T(W_n)]$	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	N. Meena
Department, University & Country	M. D. T. Hindu College, India