

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Gynaecology and Obstetrics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJGO_97289
Title of the Manuscript:	"PROSPECTIVE STUDY OF MATERNAL AND PERINATAL OUTCOME IN PREGNANT MOTHERS WITH BLEEDING DISORDERS IN TERTIARY CARE HOSPITAL"
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalarjgo.com/index.php/ARJGO/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	yes The article should be mentioned as –““ A PROSPECTIVE OBSERVATIONALSTUDY OF MATERNAL AND PERINATAL OUTCOME IN PREGNANT MOTHERS WITH BLEEDING DISORDERS IN TERTIARY CARE HOSPITAL" YES IN INTRODUCTION SECTION- several studies have been done on bleeding during pregnancy but bleeding disorders specifically during pregnancy is unstudied. IN METHODOLOGY-Time period is not mentioned. Name of the blood investigations undergone has not been mentioned. Yes Sample size of 150 is a bit less so degree of error can be more	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	yes	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nehal Sahay
Department, University & Country	J.M.J Group Hospital, India