

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Agriculture.
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ ARJA_97101
Title of the Manuscript:	Influence of 2,4-dichloro Phenoxy Acetic Acid on Seeds Germination and Early growth of Mesquite ( <i>Prosopis juliflora</i> Swarz) DC Seedlings.
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journalajrib.com/index.php/AJRIB/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments  1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)  3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b>  4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>  5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b>  6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b> <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	1. <b>The manuscript is important for the scientific community, particularly for controlling the aggressive species</b> 2. <b>The title is excellent</b> 3. <b>The abstract already contains the aim of the study, method, results and conclusion.</b> 4. <b>The subsection of this manuscript is appropriate.</b> 5. <b>Scientifically, this manuscript is acceptable.</b> 6. <b>The reference has been sufficient, but it should be written following the author's guidelines of this journal.</b>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments 1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	The quality of English may be improved by using spelling and grammar checker applications.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	I Gede Ketut Adiputra
Department, University & Country	University of Hindu Indonesia, Indonesia