

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_97218
Title of the Manuscript:	The Effect of Increasing Temperature on Crime
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalarjass.com/index.php/ARJASS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes, the main concepts and results are innovative. Climate change and their socioecological effects must be highlighted locally and globally. Public policies could be implemented by governmental entities related with the main objective of the article.</p> <p>No. The title is ambitious, to general and unspecific according to the results.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Partially. Results are combined with discussion and are not clear enough.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Yes	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>I suggest that the title be restructured according to the findings and results, try to use key words on it. In the six paragraph a reference is missing related with the information of the first, second and third line.</p> <p>The results are confusing because they are mixed with the discussion. I suggest that first the autor focus on the data obtained so it could be able to add more information regarded with it; for example, we need information about de variables and how the correlate, we only see the significances, but the information of raw results is not show.</p> <p>Also, the main objective of regression analysis is to make predictions and we only se correlations, it's not clear the cause-and-effect relationship because we have a multivariate the problem, a socioecological problem.</p> <p>I also suggest a table with historical data of the weather conditions, so we could be able to identify the increase in temperature and the relationship with crime.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Orlando Reynoso Orozco
Department, University & Country	Universidad de Guadalajara, México