

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Surgery
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRS_97181
Title of the Manuscript:	Peripapillary and Macular Microvascular Density after Selective Laser Trabeculoplasty in Exfoliative Glaucoma: OCT-Angiography study on 30 cases
Type of the Article	Short communication

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrs.com/index.php/AJRS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1. I believe the manuscript is important for scientific community because it gives an insight in a 6-month efficacy of selective laser trabeculoplasty as a treatment method of exfoliative glaucoma. 2. The title of the article is suitable. 3. The abstract of this article is unstructured. It could be more comprehensive if it included some conclusions. 4. Subsections and structure of the manuscript is appropriate. 5. I think the manuscript is scientifically correct. 6. The references are sufficient and recent.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The English language should be revised by a native speaker. There are some grammatical errors.	
Optional/General comments	Statistical analysis should be included in the "Methods" section and should be described, giving this article more worth in that way (e.g. testing association between variables, testing correlation).	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Vedran Stevanović
Department, University & Country	University Hospital for Infectious Diseases "Dr Fran Mihaljević", Croatia