

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Surgery
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRS_97181
Title of the Manuscript:	Peripapillary and Macular Microvascular Density after Selective Laser Trabeculoplasty in Exfoliative Glaucoma: OCT-Angiography study on 30 cases
Type of the Article	Short communication

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrs.com/index.php/AJRS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes, may be, because there aren't more information.</p> <p>Yes, the title is good.</p> <p>Yes, it isn't difficult.</p> <p>The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate, but I think the table have to be in the text – not at the end. It is necessary more table- more information, for instance: there is: SLT on 180° of trabecular surface in 26 eyes, and on 360° in 4 eyes-???.</p> <p>They aren't enough. Sorry I can't find second of them : Alpa S. Patel, M.D., Jordan Scott Masters, Derek W DelMonte, MD, JoobinKhadamy, MD, Kourtney Houser, MD, Sadiqa K Stelzner, MD, FACS. American academy of ophthalmology</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	There are some grammatical mistakes .	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ivelina Pitakova
Department, University & Country	Bulgaria