

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research and Reports in Urology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRRU_97127
Title of the Manuscript:	URETERIC STENTING AND PERCUTANEOUS NEPHROSTOMY INSERTION FOR ACUTE URETERIC OBSTRUCTION: A MULTI-CENTERED PROSPECTIVE STUDY TO COMPARE THE QUALITY OF LIFE BETWEEN BOTH PROCEDURES
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrru.com/index.php/AJRRU/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>The authors prospectively studied to evaluate PCN and DJS in patients with acute ureteral obstruction caused by urolithiasis, and in resulting DJS showing the quality of life and wellness outcomes significantly deteriorates over time as compared to PCN. These findings are useful for urologists.</p> <p>However, there some questions arise as follows How did you decide PCN or DJS for ureteral obstruction patients? The author should describe criteria of dividing into arms. In the introduction should be more briefly mentioned, it seems to be long.</p>	
<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	It seems to be OK	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Daisaku Hirano
Department, University & Country	Higashimatsuyama Municipal Hospital, Japan