

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research and Reports in Gastroenterology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRRGA_97473
Title of the Manuscript:	Duodenal Dieulafoy's Lesion - Arising Awareness of this Rare Cause of Gastrointestinal Bleed
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrrga.com/index.php/AJRRGA/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1. Yes, this manuscript, shows a case report, in which an early diagnosis of a little suspected pathology was made. In a short review, he makes an exact summary of the pathology in question. 2. Title is right. 3. Abstract is comprehensive, well zised 4. Structure is apropiated for thi kind of text. 5. Manuscript is scientifically correct. 6. it have 10 references, I think they can include more in this punctual theme.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, English quality is adecuate	
Optional/General comments	The endoscopy photographs could be enhanced at their edges, so that they look equal in size.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rene M. Gordillo
Department, University & Country	Alfa Hospital, Ecuador