

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research and Reports in Gastroenterology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRRGA_97473
Title of the Manuscript:	Duodenal Dieulafoy's Lesion - Arising Awareness of this Rare Cause of Gastrointestinal Bleed
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrrga.com/index.php/AJRRGA/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>- The relevance of the diagnosis and the rare of this lesion in the duodenum is interesting for the scientific community</p> <p>-The title is appropriate for the manuscript.</p> <p>-The abstract of the manuscript could be clearer and better explained. There are unclear sentences. The keywords need to be corrected, for example, gastrointestinal bleed, and hemostatis.</p> <p>-The manuscript structure is correct. However, I would like to know some points of your case, for example, What were the findings of the endoscopy? Could you describe more? Also, what was the time of the follow-up?</p> <p>-The manuscript is appropriate but needs to be corrected.</p> <p>-The references for the case report are enough.</p> <p>-I would like to thank the authors for sharing this interesting case. Although the diagnosis of Dieulafoy's lesion has been described, it remains a rare disorder. I would like to say the manuscript is interesting. It has some issues but, it could be published after some corrections, and after improving parts for making more attractive to the readers.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>There are some grammatical errors and medical terms that must be corrected, it would be also good to improve the medical English grammar.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>An easy way that can increase the quality of the data, the analysis, presentation, and publication in case reports is the CARE checklist, which will increase the quality of the case report paper.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jair Díaz Martínez
Department, University & Country	Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, México