

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Research in Infectious Diseases</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRID_95502
Title of the Manuscript:	KNOWLEDGE, ATTITUDES AND PRACTICES (KAP) OF STUDENTS OF THE FACULTY OF PHARMACY OF THE UNIVERSITY OF KISANGANI
Type of the Article	Original article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journalajrid.com/index.php/AJRID/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory REVISION</b> comments  1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)  3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b>  4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>  5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b>  6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b> <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	1. <b>Yes. The authors describe the results of a study in which the knowledge of students of the Pharmacy course at University of Kisangani regarding COVID-19 was evaluated.</b>  2. <b>No. I suggest swapping it out for "KNOWLEDGE, ATTITUDES AND PRACTICES (KAP) ON COVID-19 OF STUDENTS OF THE FACULTY OF PHARMACY OF THE UNIVERSITY OF KISANGANI".</b>  3. <b>Yes.</b>  4. <b>Yes</b>  5. <b>Yes, but I recommend authors to better discuss the results, not only discussing the correlations with other similar works, but also with real data. It was not very clear whether the responses provided by the students were part of the questionnaire or whether it was an open-ended questionnaire.</b>  6. <b>Yes</b>	
<b>Minor REVISION</b> comments 1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Yes, but I recommend a final revision.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Page 1: It must be clear in the ABSTRACT - section OBJECTIVES, that the KAP studied refers to the theme "COVID-19". Page 3 - topic "Target population of the study": Change to "The target population is made up of students from the 1 <sup>st</sup> graduate (G1), the 2 <sup>nd</sup> graduate (G2), the 3 <sup>rd</sup> graduate (G3), the 1 <sup>st</sup> year Pharmacy (P1) and the 2 <sup>nd</sup> year Pharmacy (P2) students." Page 3 – topic "Sampling and method of recruitment": Change to "Thus, in the G1, we selected 49 students among the 115 in total; in G2, 63 out of 72 students; in G3, 27 out of 35 students; in the P1, 30 students out of 35 in total and in P2, 20 students out of 20 in total."	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Stefania Neiva Lavorato</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Centro das Ciências Biológicas e da Saúde, Universidade Federal do Oeste da Bahia, Brazil</b>