

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Infectious Diseases
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ AJRID_95502
Title of the Manuscript:	KNOWLEDGE, ATTITUDES AND PRACTICES (KAP) OF STUDENTS OF THE FACULTY OF PHARMACY OF THE UNIVERSITY OF KISANGANI
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journalajrid.com/index.php/AJRID/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. This manuscript is necessary as a body of knowledge in medical science</p> <p>2. The title of the article is appropriate no more than 12 words, not yet unclear, short and solid</p> <p>3. ABSTRACT not consist of IMRAD yet consist of IMRAD (Introduction, Method, Result, and Discussion)</p> <p>4. The sub-sections of the article are appropriate</p> <p>5. The justification results are scientifically correct</p> <p>6. References are able to support the manuscript that has been made</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Title: must be clear, concise, solid, no more than 12 words. For example the knowledge, attitudes and behavior of students of the Faculty of Pharmacy, University of Kisangani in dealing with the Covid 19 problem</p> <p>Abstract: includes IMRAD (Introduction, Method, and Discussion)</p> <p>Introduction, why this research needs to be done.</p> <p>Add the number of population and sample and method of sampling. Is simple random sampling.</p> <p>Keywords: sort alphabetically</p> <p>Sample calculation: 214. Only 10% may drop out, the sample size should be 192.</p> <p>It should be underlined that 55% of respondents answered that washing hands is a technique for preventing covid 19. This percentage is still small, so it is recommended to provide health education to all students of the Faculty of Pharmacy, University of Kisangani (graduate 1, graduate 2 and graduate 3) about prevention of covid 19 are the 6 steps of washing hands.</p> <p>It is also necessary to suggest health education about the Covid-19 vaccine because only 36.8% of students have been vaccinated.</p> <p>Conclusion: add up what percentage of students have good and poor knowledge about covid 19.</p> <p>Thank you very much for your attention.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Riswani Tanjung
Department, University & Country	Sumatera Utara University, Indonesia