

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Botany
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRIB_97251
Title of the Manuscript:	Phytochemical profile and in vitro antioxidant capacity of <i>Petiveria alliacea</i> plant parts extract
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journalajrib.com/index.php/AJRIB/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	SUGGESTIONS TO THE AUTHORS: 1. In your UV-Visible spectra, maximum absorbance is shown at 378 nm. What about the absorbance below 378 nm. You need to show the absorbance for the wavelengths below 378 nm to prove the exact λ_{max} . 2. In figure 3 and 4, you have displayed the UV-Visible spectra of different concentrations (0.075 g/ml and 0.1g/ml) of <i>Petiveria alliacea</i> extract. However, you have not explained well about your objective to study different concentrations. You need to include explanation part. 3. It is necessary to review the format of the references, since journal names and symbol after issue no. are not uniform.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Okram Zenita
Department, University & Country	University of Delhi, India