

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Botany
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRIB_96836
Title of the Manuscript:	EVALUATION OF PLANT FUNGICIDES IN THE CONTROL OF FUNGII AFFECTING THE GROWTH AND COB YIELD OF MAIZE IN AWKA.
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journalajrib.com/index.php/AJRIB/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory REVISION</b> comments  <b>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  <b>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)  <b>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b>  <b>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>  <b>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b>  <b>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b> <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	The continuing development of fungicide resistance in plant and human pathogens necessitates the discovery and development of new fungicides. Several pre-harvest and postharvest pathogens have developed resistance to commonly used fungicides. <b>yes</b>  <b>yes</b>  <b>yes</b>  <b>yes</b>  The list of authors should be numbered, and the author's number from the reference list should be included in the text.  The conclusions should include concretely pointed results obtained from the research.	
<b>Minor REVISION</b> comments <b>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	yes	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	In the Results, it would be good to start with an introductory sentence: the research carried out regarding... allowed us to establish ... and the results obtained were included in tab.1...tab.2...	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sava Parascovia
Department, University & Country	Institute of Horticulture and Food Technologies, Moldova