

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCOS_97325
Title of the Manuscript:	Biometric voting using IoT to transfer vote to centralized system: A bibliometric analysis
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrcos.com/index.php/AJRCOS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
COMPULSORY REVISION COMMENTS		
1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)	Yes, the need of the day; anyone who desires to work on an IoT-based digital voting system can easily find a lot of materials using this article because the researcher has significantly collected a lot of Scopus publications in his research.	
2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Not suitable title IoT-Enabled Digital Voting System: A bibliometric Analysis or Digital Voting System using IoT: A bibliometric Analysis Or A bibliometric Overview of IoT-Based Digital Voting System	
3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?	The author is advised to re-write for a clear understanding; tell him, don't make things difficult. The author presented the abstract in indirect manner.	
4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, Introduction, Related work, methodology, discussion, analysis, conclusion references	
5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?	Yes, but English language is not good enough	
6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.	Yes, No problem here in this section of the paper	
(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)		
	1- The introduction section consists of a single big paragraph; author revise it; please write about What digital voting system, its significance/importance, a bit of history is, most people face problem(s) while voting, how these problems can be tackled etc. 2- Research Questions are missing 3- The conclusion is a huge one.... What you want to say, leave such things, tell simply that "In this research paper, the author went through different well-known online databases and searched for the paper about the digital voting system. The result tells us that Scopus-based journals have published many research papers in the area in the last decades. 4- The conclusion is vague	
MINOR REVISION COMMENTS		
1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Poor, visit each sentence, and write in direct language.	
OPTIONAL/GENERAL COMMENTS		
	Some references are in "Time New Roman" style, while some are in areal; revise/	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Saeed Ullah Jan
Department, University & Country	Govt Degree College, Pakistan