

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCOS_97325
Title of the Manuscript:	Biometric voting using IoT to transfer vote to centralized system: A bibliometric analysis
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrcos.com/index.php/AJRCOS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? Ans: Yes. If Author(s) can add applications, advantages and disadvantages as separate section that would be great. 2. Is the title of the article suitable? Ans: Looks good to me. 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Ans: Yes. 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Ans: Yes. 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Ans: Yes. 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form Ans: Yes.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments	For detail information can Author(s) refer manuscript for the comments? 1) Is there any specific reason why font and font size was changed for references?	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Manu Mitra
Department, University & Country	University of Bridgeport, USA