

Review Form 1.7

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | Asian Journal of Research in Computer Science |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_AJRCOS_97066 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | CONTENT-BASED FILTERING AND WEB SCRAPING IN WEBSITE FOR RECOMMEND ANIME |
| Type of the Article | Original Research Article |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrcos.com/index.php/AJRCOS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|--|---|
| Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments) | Yes Yes(instead of RECOMMEND ,RECOMMENDED is preferred) Yes Yes Yes Yes, the references are sufficient, no additional reference is needed. AIMS and RESULTS must be modified to AIM and RESULT ABSTRACT must be crisp and clear. Minor grammatical error must be corrected. | |
| Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | Yes | |
| Optional/General comments | Minor spelling mistakes can be preferably avoided. Methodology can be little more comprehensive. | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|---|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | (If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details) | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Name: | B. Aysha Banu |
| Department, University & Country | Mohamed Sathak Engineering College, India |