

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCOS_97011
Title of the Manuscript:	Future trails for integer programming and relations to artificial intelligence
Type of the Article	Review Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrcos.com/index.php/AJRCOS/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>This article is about reviewing methods and approaches for solving linear integer problems. This article is quite useful for the particular area of scientific community if author managed to organize the article properly.</p> <p>Ok Contained all required elements in an abstract. Author should provide a clear direction of the paper. It is suggested to provide a clear review component in Literature Review or other suitable topic and subtopic to explain regarding the subject matters. To the article poses a good review article provide relevant information regarding literature search that covers not only google scholar but also other databases to support the review results. As an review article this study should refer to many related article based on the result of meta search. Therefor I would like to suggest the author to list the extracted articles and the justification of its selection. Other that that, this article might need to reorganize the overall structure that could show a clear flow and to ease the reading process. It is not clearly shown how the topics in result &amp; discussion section are popped out. For example Population-based Algo is not part of discussed a highlighted topics (only discussed in brief) in earlier section but then being elaborated in detail in result section. Author should relate to the discussion in earlier section.</p> <p>Suggestion Introduction Related works/ Related study/Literature Review – touch on all related topics &amp; provide the research questions Methodology – should show the process to accomplish this study. Result and discussion – answering the review research questions. Conclusion</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	ok	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	As stated in previous section	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Siti Zulaiha Ahmad</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Universiti Teknologi MARA, Malaysia</b>