

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Biochemistry
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRB_97189
Title of the Manuscript:	With a new method measurement of Zn, Cu, Cu/Zn levels in third-trimester pregnant women
Type of the Article	Original article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journalajrb.com/index.php/AJRB/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes No: plz rephrase as "Role of Copper and Zinc in full-term pregnancy and its effect on Apgar Score" Yes but needs to name the new technique which is used to assess the Cu and Zn levels Yes Yes, Yes, but in order to replicate the study we need to know what previous research has reported regarding the Role of CU and Zn on the outcome of the pregnancy and its effect on the Apgar score. As the introduction is talking more about Cu and Zn function and deficiency. The methodology should have information on the study design, study area, study population, time frame, sampling size (how it was calculated) sampling technique, operational definitions of the variable understudy, inclusion/exclusion criteria, ethical approval, data collection tool, data analysis technique Discussion can be improved if results could be corelated to other national and international researches. Yes but e.g The Apgar Score. American Academy of Pediatrics Committee on Fetus and Newborn; American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists Committee on Obstetric Practice. Pediatrics. 2015;136(4):819-22. doi: 10.1542/peds.2015-2651 is not in Harvard style plz write as follow and revisit all the references AMERICAN ACADEMY OF PEDIATRICS and GYNECOLOGISTS, A., 2015. The Apgar Score. <i>Pediatrics</i>, 136, pp.819-22.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Minor typo grammatical mistakes</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rubeena Gul
Department, University & Country	Khyber Medical College, Pakistan