

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Biochemistry
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRB_96897
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of Micro albuminuria and HbA1c in type 2 Diabetes Mellitus Patients in Shendi town, sudan
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journalajrb.com/index.php/AJRB/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	"Evaluate" word in title can be replaced by "Study" It can be reframed with more of scientific approach like:- 1. Using third person denotation 2. Correcting Grammatical mistakes 3. Elaborating approach of the study purpose Yes Manuscript lacks in scientific approach Yes Also crisp write up and data analysis is missing. Diabetes mellitus prevalent worldwide hence sample size is not justified. Inclusion and Exclusion criteria is not mentioned. Uncontrolled and Controlled Diabetes status is also not mentioned	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Requires grammatical corrections , sentences should follow coherence and cohesion.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Bhawna Bhimte
Department, University & Country	Gandhi Medical College, India