

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Animal and Veterinary Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRAVS_97171
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Turmeric Extract with Zinc Oxide Nanoparticles Application on Burn Wound
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajravs.com/index.php/AJRAVS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1. Concept is worthy. The manuscript looks important for further studies. However, it needs major language editing, presentation of the data as well as vivid recheck of grammatical errors. In many places silly grammatical errors are there, which need to be corrected, e.g. in Fig 2, spelling of burn has been wrongly typed as 'burnd' All such typo errors need to be rectified to suit the manuscript into the scope of the journal. 2. YES 3. Abstract should be rewritten and focused on its contents. The terms like, 'better', 'worst', best etc. should be replaced. 4. YES 5. Reference portion needs formatting with requirements of recent references from indexed journals.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Language needs to be edited, preferably by a native English speaker	
Optional/General comments	Authors did not present any method to elucidate, what is the formulation of nanoparticle and turmeric extract, that was applied over the wound. No formulation composition of gel or any other has been mentioned anywhere.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Bhabani Sankar Satapathy
Department, University & Country	School of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Siksha O Anusandhan University, India