

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Agriculture and Forestry
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRAF_96714
Title of the Manuscript:	Jasmine (Jasminum sambac (L.) Aiton): Potential Utilization and Bioactivity
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajraf.com/index.php/AJRAF/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	<p>Yes, this manuscript is really important for scientific community as it covers all the botany, bioactivity as well clinical usage of plant.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes, Subsections and structure of manuscript is well designed.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes, References are enough.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Manuscript is well designed but there are some errors in framing sentences. In some paragraph Lack of connectivity has been found.	
Optional/General comments	Scientific terminology is missing at many places. Scientific name of the plant should be in italics.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kamakshi Tomar
Department, University & Country	Kanoria PG Mahila Mahavidyalaya, University of Rajasthan, India