

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Pediatric Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPR_97115
Title of the Manuscript:	Chronic and stubborn leuco-melanoderma in a child
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajpr.com/index.php/AJPR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	<p>This article is aimed at creating awareness on the risk/dangers Pityriasis lichenoides which could evolve into mycosis fungoides, which is rare in paediatric population and could proceed from being benign to cancerous. The paper is of importance to the scientific community.</p> <p>This is a case-study and thus should reflect in the title: Chronic and stubborn leuco-melanoderma in a child; A Case-Study The abstract is informative but no mention on any improvement in the situation with the given therapy. I would advise that the authors look up other case studies in the dermal category in paediatric medicine and try to relate their study with these earlier works to enhance their line of discussion and increase their references.</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>The references are insufficient. The authors need to relate/compare their findings with previous case-studies or related researches.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	No, the manuscript needs a grammatical overhaul.	
Optional/General comments	The authors have not stated the duration of the monitoring of the disease and if there is any improvement in the administered therapy.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Johnson-Ajinwo, Okiemute Rosa
Department, University & Country	University of Port Harcourt, Nigeria