

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Pediatric Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPR_96999
Title of the Manuscript:	Facial Pyoderma Gangrenosum in Pediatrics: A Clinical Overview and Case Study
Type of the Article	Case report

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajpr.com/index.php/AJPR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	1. Yes. The site of the lesion and its morphology is unique to make it worth reporting. 2. Facial Pyoderma Gangrenosum in Pediatrics: A Clinical Overview and Case Study I suggest to revise the article title as "Pyoderma Gangrenosum at uncommon site in a pediatric patient: Case report and review of literature".. 3. Abstract is appropriate. 4. Subsections and structure of the manuscript seems appropriate. 5. Manuscript is scientifically correct. 6. References need to be cited properly, as gross discrepancy exists in citations.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Language and grammatic quality is appropriate.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Parvaiz Anwar Rather
Department, University & Country	Government Medical College, India