

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Pregnancy and Childbirth
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPCB_97470
Title of the Manuscript:	COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF BREAST MILK AND COMMERCIAL INFANT MILK
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajpcb.com/index.php/AJPCB/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	1.yes 2.yes 3.no 4.no 5.yes 6.no -The article is too lengthy with unnecessary explanations and diagrams. The author(s) should section the article as Introduction Material and methods Result Discussion Conclusion Recommendation References -also, the use of English was terrible, the author(s) wrote the article in future tenses and not in past tense, please make amend where necessary. -a lot of irregularities can also be found -punctuations also need to be checked appropriately. -please refer to the manuscript for other corrections that are needed	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	1.no	
Optional/General comments	-the author(s) just summarize his/her academic thesis/dissertation without appropriate formatting and thorough reading and editing. -the research/topic is of importance to the scientific community and the world at large, so an outright rejection of the manuscript won't be advised, but a total revision of the manuscript is needed. - please refer to the manuscript for other corrections that are needed	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ayodeji Oluwatobi Ojetunde
Department, University & Country	Ahmadu Bello University, Nigeria