

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Pregnancy and Childbirth
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPCB_96820
Title of the Manuscript:	Determination of VASA and IFITM3 immunolocalization in experimental hyperthyroidism in rat ovaries at the stage of diestrus
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**Lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalaji.com/index.php/AJI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>The article dissects infertility from hyperthyroidism, proposing a hypothesis of the genetic-molecular mechanisms that determine this fact, through the VASA and IFITM3 cascade. The experimental study carried out on laboratory rats, in which hyperthyroidism was induced and on a control group, presents an interesting hypothesis, joining within similar concerns worldwide.</p> <p>The title is eloquent for the presented study, being addressed mainly to research communities</p> <p>The abstract is concise and relevant to the study carried out and the article designed.</p> <p>The study is well outlined, the research method and results are clearly presented, with a consistent discussion chapter, respecting the rigors of an experimental study.</p> <p>I believe that the study is correct, there are similar concerns in molecular-genetic research and the hypotheses proposed in the involvement of hyperthyroidism in the metabolism of germ cells seem plausible.</p> <p>The bibliography is well chosen and eloquent to support the article.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	The English used is correct, abbreviations are explained on first use in the text, keywords are chosen correctly.	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Cirimbei Ciprian
Department, University & Country	University of Medicine and Pharmacy, Romania