

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Probability and Statistics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPAS_96609
Title of the Manuscript:	ANALYSIS OF POST COVID-19 LOCKDOWN IN ACCESS BANK AKWANGA BRANCH: A QUEUING THEORY APPROACH
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajpas.com/index.php/AJPAS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1. Yes. Queuing theory has various features and applications, when he looked at the basic features of the queuing theory and its application to reduce waiting time. 2. Queuing theory reduce waiting time (?): Case Study in Bank Akwanga Branch 3. Please add methodology approach such as qualitative or quantitative method. 4. Please review again structure this article. (Introduction, literature review, methodology, result and discussion, conclusion and recommendation). Please more explained in result and discussion adjust to objective this article. 5. Yes, I do. 6. Yes, I am. But, please review your reference structure and use relevance reference. Please change reference from wikipedia.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Afni Sirait
Department, University & Country	Universitas Pembangunan, Indonesia