

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Physical and Chemical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOPACS_96579
Title of the Manuscript:	Influence of thickness of the porous layer on thin film condensation in forced convection in a canal whose walls are covered with a porous material: Determination of lengths of entry
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalajopacs.com/index.php/AJOPACS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (The manuscript has good results) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (Title is long) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Should contain the main findings 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? It is suitable 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Yes 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1- Abstract should contain the main findings. 2- English should improve. 3- Authors should compare their results with others.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? English should improve.		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Husam Rifaat Abed
Department, University & Country	Iraq