

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Geological Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOGER_96834
Title of the Manuscript:	Geotechnical investigation using Engineering Geological and Mapping techniques in the Niger Delta Sub-region, Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journalajoger.com/index.php/AJOGER/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1. Manuscript is important as it brings a new dimension in geology. 2. The title seems acceptable. 3. Abstract needs to be beefed up as suggested in the main comments. 4. Sections are appropriate. 5. The manuscript presents scientifically acceptable methodology which suggests the results may be correct. 6. The references are too old. New information is important to that effect. It needs to be polished to reflect current trends.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language needs to be corrected to avoid the spoken touch. It should be academic. Once this is done, it will be a good paper.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sylvester Richard Chikabvumbwa
Department, University & Country	Catholic University of Malawi, Malawi