

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medical Principles and Clinical Practice
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMPCP_97365
Title of the Manuscript:	Correlation of Volume Computed Tomography Dose Index and Dose Length Product with Acquisition Parameters in Abdomino-Pelvic and Cranial Computed Tomography Imaging
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journalajmpcp.com/index.php/AJMPCP/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	The authors have done a great work to study the role of mAs, KVP and scan time on CT DIvol and DLP. 1. The information given are very good but the need for the study in this current time seems a bit outdated since these information are published regularly. 2. The title is suitable for this study 3. Abstract is comprehensive but a few minor grammatical and spelling error 4. Yes 5. The manuscript is scientifically correct but the problem arises in the timeline of the study. The concepts researched and presented seems not recent since the Automatic Exposure Control (AEC) is mostly used in all the CT scanners. The use of AEC is not mentioned. And these concepts may not be studied based on the native country, but globally these concepts are vividly taught to students since a few years. So maybe a clarity on the use of either AEC or manual setting. 6. References are also very old. The most recent reference in this manuscript cited was published in the year 2013. So there is a need to do a extensive literature survey to understand the recent trends and techniques.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	1. Needs improvement	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Suresh Sukumar
Department, University & Country	Manipal College of Health Professionals, Manipal Academy of Higher Education, India