

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Medical Principles and Clinical Practice</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMPCP_97365
Title of the Manuscript:	Correlation of Volume Computed Tomography Dose Index and Dose Length Product with Acquisition Parameters in Abdomino-Pelvic and Cranial Computed Tomography Imaging
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://journalajmpcp.com/index.php/AJMPCP/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory REVISION</b> comments  <b>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  <b>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)  <b>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b>  <b>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>  <b>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b>  <b>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b> <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The work in this manuscript has been done before. Both CTDI and DLP are common topics to study in CT imaging and there are a lot of publications related to this field.</li> <li>The title is alright to describe the study.</li> <li>Abstract, Results section: Please provide some numerical results to describe the study quantitatively.</li> <li>There are subsection of Sec. 1.1. However, there is only one subsection there and there is no Sec. 1.2. Therefore, the authors may want to combine this subsection back to Sec. 1.</li> <li>The manuscript is scientifically correct.</li> <li>There is no problem in the references used in this manuscript.</li> </ol>	
<b>Minor REVISION</b> comments <b>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The English in this manuscript is alright and acceptable.</li> </ol>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	I have the following concerns in this work: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Related works regarding CTDI have been done before and published long time ago. The authors should stress in this manuscript what is new and novel here so that the readers will be interested in reading it.</li> <li>The scope of this work only focused on the pelvis and cranial site which are quite narrow.</li> <li>There is new physics or theory to analyse the relationship between the CTDI and DLP. The authors only plotted out the results in the manuscript.</li> <li>It is good if the authors can find out an expression in term of formula to describe the dependence of CTDI on the DLP.</li> <li>The authors should mention what is the application using the result of this study? That is how this study can benefit clinician who are in the CT imaging field.</li> </ol>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>James Chow</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Toronto, Canada</b>