

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_97418
Title of the Manuscript:	The increasing prices of sanitary products and the effect on menstrual hygiene management practices in Ghana
Type of the Article	Letter to the editor

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajmah.com/index.php/AJMAH/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	<p>This is an important public health concern particularly in the low-middle-income countries. The manuscript mentioned some potential arguments about the topic and provided some recommendation that need to be considered by the authority/ policy makers.</p> <p>I suggested a small change in the title, the manuscript does not necessarily reveal any effect of increasing prices on MHM practices, rather provided a raising concern on this issue. Abstract was not available.</p> <p>N/A</p> <p>The manuscript covered different scientific arguments.</p> <p>I would suggest providing some more evidence in the perspective of Ghana to support the different arguments presented in the manuscript.</p> <p>Other suggestions are provided in the manuscript.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	I find it easy and suitable for scholarly communication.	
Optional/General comments	Comments are provided in the manuscript directly. Overall, some areas need more explanations, providing examples in some cases would help the reader to understand the scenario. Some areas need to be supported with evidence. There are also some typos in the manuscript which needs to be addressed.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kh. Shafiur Rahaman
Department, University & Country	London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, United Kingdom