

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_97301
Title of the Manuscript:	Analysis of Radiation Dose Acceptance of Radiation Workers at the Radiology Installation of Bali Mandara Hospital
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajmah.com/index.php/AJMAH/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1. The research is good in applied terms and has goals related to the community and health reality. 2. Analysis of acceptance of radiation doses for radiation workers in the radiology facility at Bali Mandara Hospital 3. Yes, good 4. Yes, very good 5. Yes 6. Rearranging the references, it is better to have the year of the reference at the end.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, very good	
Optional/General comments	1. It is preferable that the research objectives and conclusions be numbered. 2. Equations must be cited with a reference.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Saba Abdulzahra Obaid Alrubae
Department, University & Country	University of Babylon, Iraq