

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Medicine and Health</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJMAH_96885</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Musculoskeletal disorders: A descriptive survey among 425 staff members of the staff of the University of Dschang</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajmah.com/index.php/AJMAH/editorial-policy> )

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p><b>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p><b>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p><b>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p><b>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p><b>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p><b>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b> <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>Yes, of course, because the manuscript is where the researcher informs the results of his research to others</p> <p>Musculoskeletal disorders: A descriptive survey among 425 Dschang University staff members The title should be "Factor Analysis on the Incidence of Musculoskeletal Disorders at the University of Dschang".</p> <p>In terms of methods, researchers should use this type of observational research with a cross-sectional design Keywords: musculoskeletal disorders; risk factor; prevalence</p> <p>The subsections and the structure of the manuscript are good, but the contents of the subsections need improvement (can be seen in the revision file)</p> <p>The manuscript is scientifically appropriate, but the contents need to be explored in depth, especially in the discussion chapter</p> <p>References are sufficient, but it is better to use a program, such as Mendeley</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p><b>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Need improvement according to the reviewer's suggestions and writing guidelines that have been determined</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>needs improvement according to the reviewer's suggestions still needs improvement in several chapters</p> <p>Title Musculoskeletal disorders: A descriptive survey among 425 Dschang University staff members The title should be " Factor Analysis on the Incidence of Musculoskelatal Disorders at the University of Dschang".</p> <p>Abstract In terms of methods, researchers should use this type of observational research with a cross-sectional design Keywords: musculoskeletal disorders; risk factor; prevalence</p> <p>Introduction In this chapter the researcher should describe:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. What is the research problem</li> <li>2. Prevalence of musculoskeletal system events in workers</li> <li>3. What efforts have been made to overcome the problem of musculoskeletal disorders in workers and what efforts have the researchers offered to overcome the research problem so that this research looks novelty.</li> <li>4. What is the urgency of this research so that it is very necessary to do research</li> </ol> <p>Materials and methods Researchers should describe how large the population is. Also put forward the inclusion criteria, what if the sample had previous musculoskeletal disorders which could interfere with the research process. Researchers should describe research variables, especially those studied</p> <p>Results All the results of the analysis the researcher should state what the conclusions are, the researcher should not be trapped by the numbers in the table.</p> <p>Discussion In this chapter, the researcher should present the results of the analysis, then present the researcher's ideas in depth with citations. In the discussion chapter, quantitative data should not be displayed again because it has been</p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

	presented in the results chapter References References should use programs, especially citations, such as Mendeley	
--	--	--

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Hendrik</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Health Polytechnic Makassar, Indonesia</b>