

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_96690
Title of the Manuscript:	Perinatal Care: Integrative Healthcare Services: A Comprehensive Review
Type of the Article	Review Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajmah.com/index.php/AJMAH/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	<b>Yes, Active and expectant management is employed to properly deal with the third stage of labor to prevent PPB.</b>  <b>No,</b> <b>Prevention PPB by Breastfeeding and Skin to skin Contact : A Comprehensive Review</b>  <b>Need to have changes. Abstract, It's better to highlight skin to skin / BF compared to HPP</b>  <b>Need to have changes. Abstract, discussion conclusions needs to be changed. Result should describe primacy BF/SSC can prevent PPB cause atony</b>  <b>Yes, But need to change the format of manuscript language. Describe in detail</b>  <b>Yes, Reference Younger patients</b>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Some changes in language used will change the words count	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Researchers must clarify the information submitted. It is better not to highlight HPP because of the impact of atonia. BF/SSC management should be able to prevent atonia that causes HPP	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Wenny Indah Purnama Eka Sari</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Poltekkes Kemenkes Bengkulu, Indonesia</b>