

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_96572
Title of the Manuscript:	Transposition of abdominal inferior vena cava to the left: A rare case report
Type of the Article	Case Report (Post- mortem)

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajmah.com/index.php/AJMAH/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	It is a summary of the pathophysiology of abnormal position of inferior vena cava and possible clinical implications rather than a critical review of the survival patients with transposition of the great vessels in real life situation although it is associated with significant clinical implications. This article does not include the discussion of the association between the survival with transposition of IVC and associated clinical consequences. This article is different from the usual case report as it is reported about the abnormal position of the great vessel (IVC) in post mortem patient. Good discussion should include the critical review of survival with transposition of great vessels and its consequences. The references are adequate for case report and abstract of this article reflect the purpose of case study in post-mortem patient.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Accepted as per article	
Optional/General comments	It can be accepted with minor revision (Discussion)	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ma Saung Oo
Department, University & Country	Universiti Sultan Zainal Abidin, Malaysia