

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_96572
Title of the Manuscript:	Transposition of abdominal inferior vena cava to the left: A rare case report
Type of the Article	Case Report

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajmah.com/index.php/AJMAH/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	Yes, this IVC variation is rare and can be the source of iatrogenic injury during abdominal procedures and surgeries. Knowledge of this left-sided IVC is important for general surgeons, as well as teaching anatomists. In addition, there is a common risk of DVT associated with this variation that physicians and surgeons should be aware of. Yes or it could be: Left-sided abdominal inferior vena cava: a rare case report Yes, but it is troubling that the exact age of the donor is not known. This information should be known if the donor donated their remains for medical education/research. Yes, I did edit the last section to include CONCLUSION, rather than bolding In conclusion Yes I would also see if this variation is mentioned in Bergman's Comprehensive Encyclopedia of Human Anatomic Variation (https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/book/10.1002/9781118430309)	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Guinevere Granite
Department, University & Country	Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences, USA