

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Immunology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJI_97443
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECTS OF PROCESSING ON THE NUTRITIONAL COMPOSITION OF WHITE FOWL EGG (RAW, FRYING AND BOILING)
Type of the Article	Original article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalaji.com/index.php/AJI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1. Yes manuscript important for scientific community but similar studies are already available like <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Impact of Processing Methods on Nutritive Value and Fatty Acid Profile of Hen Eggs • The Effect of Nutrition on the Composition of Eggs • The Impact of Egg Nutrient Composition and Its Consumption on Cholesterol Homeostasis 2. Yes, title of the article is suitable 3. Abstract of the article is comprehensive 4. Yes subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate but author should add more literature 5. Yes the manuscript is scientifically correct. but many things are similar with previous work 6. Author should add more recent references.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	language/English should improve	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Arti Gupta
Department, University & Country	SRMS College of Engineering & Technology, India