

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Geographical Research</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJGR_96065</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>A Geographical Analysis of Free Bus Shuttle System in Ondo State, Nigeria</b>
Type of the Article	

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journalajgr.com/index.php/AJGR/editorial-policy> )

## Review Form 1.7

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> Yes, it is.</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> Yes, it is</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b> No, it is not. The abstract section should clearly state the contribution of this research and what research gap it addresses. It should bring in more details about methodology used. Include population and sampling, and data analysis approach. You should Use precise, clear, descriptive language in abstract.</p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b> No, they are not. They should consist of introduction, method, results &amp; discussions, conclusion.</p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b> Much more critical analysis needs to be undertaken of the relevant literature and key points/issues identified which will underpin the rest of the manuscript. Provide more specific research questions then integrate the literature with the finding to provide a coherent argument in favor of the proposed research provided</p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b> Try to include some latest journal article references published from 2018-2021 in the specific areas to improve the relevance and currency of the research. Extended literature review would help you also with addressing the issue of contribution of the manuscript.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>		
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>Our suggestion is that the manuscript needs extended restructuring in terms of</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>more convincing arguments and documentation of the originality and the value of this particular research,</li> <li>the methodology and design of the research (in terms of sample selection, data and type of analysis), i.e. the quality of the statistical analysis and data presentation in the manuscript needs substantial improvement,</li> <li>a coherent presentation and interpretation of the findings and provide an argument that supports the significance of the research in comparison to what is already known about this research problem</li> </ul>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Arita Marini
Department, University & Country	Universitas Negeri Jakarta, Indonesia