

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Geographical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJGR_96065
Title of the Manuscript:	A Geographical Analysis of Free Bus Shuttle System in Ondo State, Nigeria
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journalajgr.com/index.php/AJGR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u> 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The researcher should abbreviate the abstract so that it does not exceed 250 words.. It begins with a brief presentation of the problem, then moves on to the solution adopted by the researcher and the algorithm or method that helps in solving that problem. Then the researcher mentions the results and effects that appeared after implementing that algorithm. 2. The researcher must number the references with the addition of the reference number when referring to it. Such as [1] and the number of references used as previous studies should not be less than 10. 3. The numbering of the paragraphs contains errors and the mythology in general is incorrect. 4. If the research is a review or a study, this must be mentioned with the research title. 5. The paper is unjustifiably long. It should not exceed 15 pages. 6. The study lacks scrutiny and mathematical analysis, and it needs more experiments 7. The paper requires arranging and reorganizing 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ansam Nazar Younis
Department, University & Country	Mosul University, Iraq