

Review Form 1.7

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name: | Asian Journal of Food Research and Nutrition |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_AJFRN_97104 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Preparation and Evaluation of Breadsticks from Chufa Tubers as Substitute Wheat Flour. |
| Type of the Article | Original Research Article |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://journalajfrn.com/index.php/AJFRN/editorial-policy>

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|--|---|
| Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments) | Yes No. (Quality of Breadstick Produced from Blends of Tiger-nut and Wheat Flour) Not really, it should be reworked to include the results in values and the conclusion. Yes Yes Yes | |
| Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | Yes | |
| Optional/General comments | The author should be consistent in the style of the referencing. Recommended style of referencing by the journal should be used consistently. There are more than two objectives in the work.. From the work done the following objectives are identified: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • determination of proximate compositions of the breadstick samples • assessment of texture profile of the breadstick samples • determination of physicochemical constituents of the breadstick sample • determination of mineral constituents of the..... • evaluation of sensory attributes of the..... | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|---|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | (If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details) | |

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Name: | Joshua Oluremi Odedeji |
| Department, University & Country | Osun State Polytechnic, Nigeria |