

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Food Research and Nutrition
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFRN_96562
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of the effect of handling, processing and storage practices on the quality bee products from Africa's most renewed bee village in Tanzania
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://journalajfrn.com/index.php/AJFRN/editorial-policy>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes important for scientific community</p> <p>However, some products of honeybees (beeswax, propolis) not stated the effect of quality in the result and discussion)?.</p> <p>May important to modified title for suitable article</p> <p>I Suggested "Assessment of the effect of handling, processing and storage practices on the quality of honeybee products from Africa's most renewed bee village in Tanzania"</p> <p>Yes comprehensive abstract</p> <p>No appropriate sub-sections (no need of literature in the Article separately) just stated what problems of statement in the introductions).The order of Result and discursions please arranged</p> <p>Yes scientifically correct if author as accepted comments</p> <p>The reference sufficient and recent except(1951), but you have to stated uniform and standard and add some important reference</p> <p>In the research methodology, result and discussions many sentences stated by future tense, you should change to past tense form (I highlighted by yellow color)</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Many sentence may need rewrite in research methodology, results, and Discussion</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>All documents comments in body may track using accepted and rejected may use authors</p> <p>The research methodology and Result you should be used paste tense form</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Temaro Gelgelu Desha
Department, University & Country	Oromia Agriculture Research Institute (OARI), Sinana Agriculture Research Center (SARC), Ethiopia