

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_97421
Title of the Manuscript:	Challenges facing women vegetable vendors in Arusha, Tanzania. A case of Tengeru market.
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	Yes Yes but need to re-arrange and sound workable. Moderate. Please refer to the SCOPUS/WoS how they write a good abstract. Moderate. Yes Weak References. should ADD 2022 – 2019 references. <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Abstract – restructure abstract writing style Introduction – must include research objectives / hypotheses and highlight the main problems/Gaps of the study Literature Reviews – Write in focus, concise and precise Methods – re-structure. Gender/marital status/etc is quantitative available in survey. Results – Add more specific results based on research objectives of the study Conclusion – wrap up and add recommendation or suggestion Acknowledgement – write to acknowledge person who involve in the study References – refer to APA 6th style 	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Muhamad Saufi Che Rusuli
Department, University & Country	Universiti Malaysia Kelantan, Malaysia