

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_97191
Title of the Manuscript:	Recent Trends of Quantitative Approaches In Different Sectors: A Concise Review
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b> <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>"This review article focuses on the basic terminologies of quantitative research, its applications in various sectors and reasons why quantitative research approaches surpass qualitative research methods." It is a good discussion as it can be referred by the newbies in research from different sectors.</p> <p><b>Good</b> Result of the study is not stated in abstract.</p> <p><b>Well written and contains appropriate structure.</b> <b>In-text citation – the article contains many mistakes please check correct APA citation style.</b> <b>The discussion for most of the topic are lacking in terms of synthesis and analytics. As this article is a review, which focuses more on the quantitative approach against qualitative, author is suggested to discuss the subject matter comprehensively by synthesizing appropriate references and sources. So, it will reflect the title of the article. The references are not recent. Please include more articles published in 2020 – 2022.</b></p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	Good	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	none	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Siti Zulaiha Ahmad
Department, University & Country	College of Computing, Informatics and Media, Universiti Teknologi MARA, Malaysia